AO 120 (Rev. 2/99)

TO: Mail Stop 8

Director of the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK

	pliance with 35 § 290 and/				ction has been	
	District Court Northerr	District of Cali	fornia on the fo	llowing X Paten	ts or	
DOCKET NO.	DATE FILED	U.S. 1	DISTRICT COURT			
C-11-6637-EDL	December 23, 20	011 Offi	e of the Clerk, 450	Golden Gate Avenue	, 16th Floor, San Francisco, 94102	
PLAINTIFF			DEFENDANT			
VASUDEVAN SOF	TWARE, INC.		MICROSTI	RATEGY, INC.		
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATER		HOLD	ER OF PATENT OR	TRADEMARK	
1 6,877,006	4/5/2005					
2 7,167,864	1/23/200	7				
3 7,720,861	5/18/201	0	"Pls.	See Attached Copy o	of Complaint"	
4 8,082,268	12/20/20	11				
5						
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK		☐ Answer ☐ Cross Bill ☐ Other Pleading HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK			
2			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	***		
3						
4						
5						
In the above	ve—entitled case, the follo	wing decision ha	s been rendered or	judgement issued:		
CLERK		(BY) DEPUTY	CLEBK		DATE	
Richard W. Wieking		Thelma Nudo			DATE	
					December 23, 2011	

SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.

A REGISTERED LIMITED LIABILITY PARTNERSHIP SUITE 3800 1201 THIRD AVENUE

SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101-3000

(206) 5 | 6-3880 FAX (206) 516-3883 WWW.SUSMANGODFREY.COM

SUITE 5 1 00

SUITE 5 LOO (214) 754-1900

 SUITE 5 I OO
 SUITE 950
 I 5TH FLOOR

 1 OO LOUISIANA STREET
 90 I Main STREET
 1 90 I AVENUE OF THE STARS
 560 LEXINGTON AVENUE

 HOUSTON, TEXAS 77002-5096
 DALLAS, TEXAS 75202-3775
 Los Angeles, California 90067-6029
 New York, New York I OO22-6828

 (713) 651-9366
 (214) 754-1900
 (310) 780-3100
 SUITE 950 (310) 789-3100

(212) 336-8330

BIANCA NEALIOUS DIRECT DIAL (206) 5 | 6-3804

E-MAIL BNEALIOUS@SUSMANGODFREY.COM

January 9, 2012

VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL

Attn: Commissioner Director of the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Reports on the Filing of an Action by Plaintiff Vasudevan Software Re:

Dear Commissioner:

Enclosed for the Patent and Trademark Office's records are Reports on the Filing or Determination of an Action relating to the following matters:

- Vasudevan Software Inc. v. MicroStrategy, Inc. U.S.D.C. Northern District of California, Case No. 11-6637
- Vasudevan Software Inc. v.TIBCO Software Inc., et al U.S.D.C. Northern District of California, Case No. 11-6638

If these Reports and attachments were previously submitted directly by the Court please disregard. If you require anything further please do not hesitate to contact me at my direct number above.

Sincerely,

Bianca Nealious

Dianca Mallin.

Legal Secretary

Enclosures

		·		
	•			
	,			

Brooke A. M. Taylor, WSBA 33190 (pro hac vice pending) btaylor@susmangodfrey.com 2 Stephen E. Morrissey, CA Bar 187865 smorrissey@susmangodfrey.com 3 SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P. 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3800 4 Seattle, Washington 98101-3000 Telephone: (206) 516-3880 5 Facsimile: (206) 516-3883 DEC 2 8 2011 6 Stephen E. Morrissey, CA Bar 187865 RICHARD W. WIEKING smorrissey@susmangodfrey.com CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT 7 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P. 8 1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 950 Los Angeles, CA 90067-6029 E-SilinG Telephone: (310) 789-3103 Facsimile: (310) 789-3150 10 Nabeel H. Peracha 11 TX Bar 24065895 (pro hac vice pending) nperacha@susmangodfrey.com 12 SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P. 1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 5100 13 Houston, Texas 77098-5096 Telephone: (713) 651-9366 14 Facsimile: (713) 654-6666 15 Attorneys for Plaintiff 16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 17 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 18 VASUDEVAN SOFTWARE, INC., 19 Plaintiff, 20 COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT VS. 21 JURY TRIAL DEMANDED MICROSTRATEGY, INC., 22 Defendant. 23 For its Complaint, Plaintiff Vasudevan Software, Inc. (VSi) alleges as follows: 24 25 This case is related to, and involves some of the same patents involved in the prior 1. 26 action, Vasudevan Software, Inc. v. International Business Machines Corporation, et al., Case 27 28

VSI'S COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT - 1

2118132v1/012934

W

No. 5:09-CV-05897 (RS-PSG) which was litigated in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, San Francisco Division.

THE PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff VSi is a corporation duly organized under the laws of North Carolina with its principal place of business at 380-H Knollwood Street, Suite 193, Winston-Salem, North Carolina 27103.
- 3. Upon information and belief, Defendant MicroStrategy, Inc. (MicroStrategy) is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 1850 Towers Crescent Plaza, Tysons Corner, Virginia 22182.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This action arises under the United States Patent Laws, codified at 35 U.S.C. § 1, et seq.
- 5. This Court has exclusive subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 6. MicroStrategy has sufficient contacts with this judicial district and the state of California to subject it to the jurisdiction of this Court. Corporation Service Company is a registered MicroStrategy agent for service of process, located at 2730 Gateway Oaks Drive, Suite 100, Sacramento, California 95833. MicroStrategy maintains facilities and conducts business in California, including in the Northern District of California. MicroStrategy maintains an office at 101 Montgomery Street San Francisco, California 94104. MicroStrategy also advertises and sells its products to distributors and residents throughout California. In addition, MicroStrategy has committed acts of infringement in this District, and continues to commit acts of infringement in this District, entitling VSi to relief.

7. Venue is proper in the Northern District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), (c) and 1400(b), because MicroStrategy has committed acts of direct and indirect infringement in the Northern District of California, has transacted business in the Northern District of California, and has established minimum contacts with the Northern District of California.

COUNT ONE - INFRINGEMENT OF THE '006 PATENT

- 8. On April 5, 2005, United States Patent No. 6,877,006 B1 (the '006 Patent) was duly and legally issued for an invention entitled "Multimedia Inspection Database System (MIDaS) For Dynamic Run-Time Evaluation." On January 6, 2009, an Ex Parte Reexamination Certificate was duly and legally issued for the '006 Patent. The Reexamination Certificate confirms the patentability of all claims. VSi was assigned the '006 Patent and continues to hold all rights and interest in the '006 Patent. VSi has complied with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 287. A true and correct copy of the '006 Patent and the Reexamination Certificate is attached as Exhibit A.
- 9. MicroStrategy has infringed and continues to infringe the '006 Patent by its manufacture, use, offer for sale, importation and/or sale of MicroStrategy 9 and other products, and is contributing to and inducing others to manufacture, use, sell, distribute, and/or offer to sell infringing products. Under 35 U.S.C. § 271, MicroStrategy is liable for its infringement of the '006 Patent.
- 10. MicroStrategy's acts of infringement have caused damage to VSi, and VSi is entitled to recover from MicroStrategy the damages sustained by VSi as a result of MicroStrategy's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. MicroStrategy's infringement of VSi's exclusive rights under the '006 Patent will continue to damage VSi,

causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless enjoined by this Court.

11. VSi reserves the right to allege, after discovery, that MicroStrategy's infringement of the '006 patent is willful and deliberate, entitling VSi to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorney's fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

COUNT TWO - INFRINGEMENT OF THE '864 PATENT

- 12. On January 23, 2007, United States Patent No. 7,167,864 B1 (the '864 Patent) was duly and legally issued for an invention entitled "Multimedia Inspection Database System (MIDaS) For Dynamic Run-Time Evaluation." On January 6, 2009, an Ex Parte Reexamination Certificate was duly and legally issued for the '864 Patent. The Reexamination Certificate confirms the patentability of all claims. VSi was assigned the '864 Patent and continues to hold all rights and interest in the '864 Patent. VSi has complied with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 287. A true and correct copy of the '864 Patent and the Reexamination Certificate are attached as Exhibit B.
- 13. MicroStrategy has infringed and continues to infringe the '864 Patent by its manufacture, use, offer for sale, importation and/or sale of MicroStrategy 9 and other products, and is contributing to and inducing others to manufacture, use, sell, distribute, and/or offer to sell infringing products. Under 35 U.S.C. § 271, MicroStrategy is liable for its infringement of the '864 Patent.
- 14. Defendants' acts of infringement have caused damage to VSi, and VSi is entitled to recover from Defendants the damages sustained by VSi as a result of Defendants' wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. Defendants' infringement of VSi's exclusive rights under the '864 Patent will continue to damage VSi, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless enjoined by this Court.

15. VSi reserves the right to allege, after discovery, that MicroStrategy's infringement of the '864 patent is willful and deliberate, entitling VSi to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorney's fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

COUNT THREE - INFRINGEMENT OF THE '861 PATENT

- 16. On May 18, 2010, United States Patent No. 7,720,861 B1 (the '861 Patent) was duly and legally issued for an invention entitled "Multimedia Inspection Database System (MIDaS) For Dynamic Run-Time Evaluation." VSi was assigned the '861 Patent and continues to hold all rights and interest in the '861 Patent. VSi has complied with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 287. A true and correct copy of the '861 Patent is attached as Exhibit C.
- 17. MicroStrategy has infringed and continues to infringe the '861 Patent by its manufacture, use, offer for sale, importation and/or sale of MicroStrategy 9 and other products, and is contributing to and inducing others to manufacture, use, sell, distribute, and/or offer to sell infringing products. Under 35 U.S.C. § 271, MicroStrategy is liable for its infringement of the '861 Patent.
- 18. Defendants' acts of infringement have caused damage to VSi, and VSi is entitled to recover from Defendants the damages sustained by VSi as a result of Defendants' wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. Defendants' infringement of VSi's exclusive rights under the '861 Patent will continue to damage VSi, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless enjoined by this Court.
- 19. VSi reserves the right to allege, after discovery, that MicroStrategy's infringement of the '861 patent is willful and deliberate, entitling VSi to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorney's fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

COUNT FOUR - INFRINGEMENT OF THE '268 PATENT

- 20. On December 20, 2011, United States Patent No. 8,082,268 B2 (the '268 Patent) was duly and legally issued for an invention entitled "Multimedia Inspection Database System (MIDaS) For Dynamic Run-Time Evaluation." VSi was assigned the '268 Patent and continues to hold all rights and interest in the '268 Patent. VSi has complied with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 287. A true and correct copy of the '268 Patent is attached as Exhibit D.
- 21. MicroStrategy has infringed and continues to infringe the '268 Patent by its manufacture, use, offer for sale, importation and/or sale of MicroStrategy 9 and other products, and is contributing to and inducing others to manufacture, use, sell, distribute, and/or offer to sell infringing products. Under 35 U.S.C. § 271, MicroStrategy is liable for its infringement of the '268 Patent.
- 22. Defendants' acts of infringement have caused damage to VSi, and VSi is entitled to recover from Defendants the damages sustained by VSi as a result of Defendants' wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. Defendants' infringement of VSi's exclusive rights under the '268 Patent will continue to damage VSi, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless enjoined by this Court.
- 23. VSi reserves the right to allege, after discovery, that MicroStrategy's infringement of the '268 patent is willful and deliberate, entitling VSi to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorney's fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

JURY DEMAND

24. VSi demands a trial by jury.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, VSi prays for relief against MicroStrategy as follows:

(206) 516-3883 (fax)

VSI'S COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT - 7

26

27

28

1	
2	Stephen E. Morrissey CA Bar 187865
3	smorrissey@susmangodfrey.com
	SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
4	1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 950 Los Angeles, CA 90067-6029
5	(310) 789-3103
6	(310) 789-3150 (fax)
7	Nabeel H. Peracha
8	TX Bar 24065895 (pro hac vice pending) nperacha@susmangodfrey.com
9	SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P. 1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 5100
	Houston, Texas 77098-5096
10	Telephone: (713) 651-9366 Facsimile: (713) 654-6666
11	Michael F. Heim
12	TX Bar No. 09380923 (pro hac vice pending)
13	mheim@hpcllp.com
	Leslie V. Payne TY Bar No. 00784736 (mm. has vice mendica)
14	TX Bar No. 00784736 (pro hac vice pending) lpayne@hpcllp.com
15	Eric J. Enger
16	TX Bar No. 24045833 (pro hac vice pending) eenger@hpcllp.com
17	Nick P. Patel
17	TX Bar No. 24076610 (pro hac vice pending)
18	npatel@hpcllp.com HEIM, PAYNE & CHORUSH, LLP
19	600 Travis Street, Suite 6710
20	Houston, Texas 77002-2912
ĺ	(713) 221-2000 (713) 221-2021(fax)
21	
22	ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	